

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/534,348	SILENCE ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Gregory S. Emch	1649	

**All Participants:**

(1) Gregory S. Emch.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John R. Van Amsterdam.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10/30, 11/2&11/4/09

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-8, 10, 12, 16, 21 and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/G.E./

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicants' representative to attempt to advance prosecution by negotiating an allowance with an examiner's amendment. The examiner proposed amending the claims to be drawn to the elected species of SEQ ID NOs: 1, 28 and 35, while canceling the broader claimed embodiments. After consultation with applicants, applicants' representative informed the examiner that applicants declined the examiner's proposal..